G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.

1044 to 85 91 + 92 - (Madura)

1920

File No. 94—11

Serial Nos. 1 - 8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for November 22

SUBJECT

Alleged misappropriation of the amounts due to Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at Bandikole in the Krishnarajpet Taluk

---

8(8)

To.

The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore

No 1727 & 16-11-22

Enclosure letter in duplicate.

Printed on the Multigraph.

26.1.23.

G.R.S. 11]

1911

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No 96-11

FILE HEADING

Alleged mis-appropriation of the amounts due to Sri Srikanteswara Swamy Temple at Bandekole in the Krishnarajpet Taluk.

From

Vyasa Rau of Mysore

No Petition Dated 15-7-1911 Received

Diary No	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No
281			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Complains that Venkataramiah, Agent of the Devasthana Agrahara Villis, has to account for about Rs 1300/- collected by him as Agent of the 1¼ villes of the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy Temple at Bandekole.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. The Temple of Srikanteswaraswami at Bandekole in the Krishnarajpet Taluk is endowed with one 11/4 out of 56 villes or 50 of the Devasthana Agrahara. The 11/4 villes are made up of ½ ville in Bandekole, 1/4 ville in Kurumenkoli and 1/4 ville in Terenkalu

NOTES—FIRST Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 9.41 of 191 /.

Serial No.

Villages. Ora Venkatanarasiah was appointed Agent of all the Devasthanam villages in Virkil. His management was not satisfactory and there were many complaints against him. He was removed and an enquiry into the complaints against him was ordered. The first complaint was one of such complaints. The other complaints were of other kinds. The petitioner has no personal interest in the matter.

2 When I was last in the district, that is from 1904-6, I enquired into the working of the Virkil village and confirmed the appointment. On the petitioner's application I then ordered that the Virkil should be taken under direct management. This was, as noted in the petition, sanctioned in 1904. I hope proper arrangements are made now. The complaint is that Agent Venkatanarasiah is drawing his management misappropriating the Virkil income. His attention should be called upon to ~~Satisfactory~~ furnish a satisfactory account of his management.

3 The pay of this Agent for a number of years had fallen into arrears; and I remember

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—5 000—26 6 11

(B

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 94 of 19 11

Serial No.

Vertis a fortnight in this Rev. Dept. that this should not be paid till his complaints were settled.

A cheque for the arrears of pay was submitted in this Rev. Dept. by, and sanctioned by, Govt. A portion of the money has also been paid to this Agent.

The petr. then sent a petition to this Office which ended in the dismissal of 3 officers now entrenched D 635 of 1910-11

"In the meantime I had posted to this Taluq as Manager when this petition came before me. I remember to have made a reference to this Board to the Rev: Govt in this matter of paying this arrear money due to this Agent

The Agent says he has to pay this amount from Rs. 180 a month to this Temple and has no objection to the being withheld. (Vide this Dept. D of 20.10.1910 D 2166 of 1910-1

The petr. says about Rs 1300 are due. A thorough enquiry is necessary. Also a fair draft petition may be approved

Submitted to Govt Council

Chintamanya

21/8/11

I know this agent & something of these Vertis. I do not believe that anything can be got out of him

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

(4

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mar File No. 94 of 1911

Serial No.	
	7 The drafts are however approved [signature] 23/8/11 Mr. Issue at once [signature] 26/8/911
2	To the Rev Commr in Mysore No 512 D 28.8.1911 Forwards the Petn requesting that the Dy Comr may be instructed to enquire into the matter, and to submit a detailed report, and that the pay of the Agent should not be disbursed till the Complaint is disposed
3	——— Endl to Vyasarao of Mysore No 513 D 28 8 911 Intimate as in 2 (2)
4	From the Rev: Comr in Mysore., Letter no_A C 1133 D 19-3-17 Forwards copy of the Mysore Dy Comr's letter reporting the action taken in the matter till now, and stating that he will cause a full enquiry to be made and submit a final report within 3 months.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mys File No. 94 of 1917.

Serial No.

9 It will be seen from the Dy: Comr's letter of 3-3-17, forming enclosure to the Rev: Comr's letter, that Mr: Subramanya Iyer ~~was~~ when he was the Dy: Comr: of the Mysore Distt ordered that attention should be paid to the recovery of the amounts from Kurmenahalli & Seranenahalli Villies, that the Amildar should give his personal attention to the matter and submit a monthly progress report to the Dy: Comr. The Dy: Comr: states that no further enquiry appears to have been made; that he would deal with the matter and insist on a full enquiry being made and a final report ~~being~~ submitted within three months.

10 Subd for orders as to whether the further report may be awaited.

SD
11-4-17

13/4/17

11 Yr. Memo Reminder when the period is over

SD
3-5-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 96 of 19 24

Serial No.

5 From, the Rev. Comr in Mysore.
Letter no. 1133 Dy 22-4-1922.
Submits report in the
record called for on the subject.
The Rev. Comr has forwarded
a copy of the Dy. Comrs. letter,
and the Dy. Comr has forwarded
a copy of Sub Divl Officers
report explaining the facts of
the case.
The facts are given in
the R. Secretary's note in pages
1-3 of the office note.
As regards Vyasarao's complaint
that a sum of Rs 1341 and odd
belonging to Sri Sri Ramteshwara
Swami temple at Bandikole,
has misappropriated by the
late Agent, Venkataramiah,
the Sub Divl Officer reports
on an elaborate enquiry
that "no conclusive proof
has established regarding the actual
amount stated to be misappropriated
by him (Agent Venkataramiah)"
Both the Dy. Comr and the

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 96 of 19 11

Serial No.

Rev. Comr. have not given their opinion with regard to the temple claim.

Submr. for orders.

M
16.5.21

One way would be to return the papers to the R-C for disposal with his opinion. But I am afraid it will serve no useful purpose in the case. Even though the records be, it is apparent that no action is possible at present. In the first place, there are no accounts to show conclusively that there has been misappropriation.

In the 2nd place, even if this be established, it may not be possible to recover the amount so long after the death of the party, from his property or heirs.

The matter may be dropped, and the records returned.

(vide the letter from H V Ranganadayya note as Member of Council on 23-8-1911.)

(Page 3 of these notes)

18 Members

BM
17/5

P. T. O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

8

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Hwz File No. 84 of 19 14

Serial No.	
6564-75 18.5.22.	Yes. I agree with the Resident Engr. I do not think any useful purpose will be served by pursuing the matter further. particularly as the individual complained against died about nine years ago. At the same time a special report may be called for from the S.P. about the present state of affairs in the temple. It is true that this is a Hindu Religious institution & Hindu sentiment in regard to it has been outraged by Mr. Muthuswamy Ayyar's action. [illegible] 20 5.22 — Bm 25/5/22
	Offr. Secretr. Bm 26.5.22 22-26.5.22 H Bronyon 29/5
6	No. 577-9 - June 1922 To the Revd Superr State that there is room for improving the enquiry as the individual complained against is now dead

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Hwz

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Secret File No. 96 of 19 22

Serial No.	
6564-95/22	A report about the present State of affairs in the temple [illegible]
7.	From, the Bombay Commissioner, Letter no. R. Dis. 6 of 1922-23. forwarded by Comm. Ctc... Reporting that Services are being regularly conducted in the temple, that there is an annual visit of H.H. 1961-80 from the family of 3 trustees belonging to the temple, and that income of Rs 1020-2-7 at the credit of the trustees on 31st August of 22. This report is kept in reference to the pleadings in C.C. 20.

as to whether a draft recording the correspondence may be put up.

U/s.

8/11/22

8/11/22

Note---Contn. sheet.

9.7.0.

3. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.	
	3882-267 9.11.22
	Yes. Report can be recorded. Secy 10.11.22 10/11/22
	Sec. for Com. Secy 10/11/22
8	No. 1727 A letter to the Hon. Com. Comm. Records the serial No. 1.
	B

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. 26]

2578(3.45

Diary No. of letter replied to 281

File No. 94-11

Serial No.

No. 572 Ent D 28/8/11

Dmo 7 94-11-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19 .

Date of issue 28-8-1911.

Signed by Mr A. Subramanyadya

To

The Revl Commr,

in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith petition regd. no. D 281 Dy 15th July 1911 from one Vyasa Rau of Mysore bringing to notice that Venkataramaiah, Agent of the Devamba Agrahara Villis, has to account for about Rs 1300/ collected by him as Agent of the

over.

SUBJECT.

1/4 Vrittis of the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy Temple at Bandhole in the Krishnarajpet Taluk and to request that the Dy. Commr may be requested to enquire into the matter at an early date and submit a detailed report with a finding about the amount that may fairly be claimed from the Agent.

I am further to request that the Dy. Commr may be instructed not to disburse to the Agent the arrears of pay due to him and also not to release the properties attached as security by the Agent till this Complaint disposed of.

I have etc

2/8/94

Fair Offg

For Offg Secretary

23/8/11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9 10

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, ~~16th~~ March 1917.

No. A C. 1133

FROM

K. Doraswami Iyer Esquire,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
REVENUE *Department.*

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.512/Muz.94-11-2 dated 28th August 1911 forwarding for report a petition from Vyasa Rao of Mysore complaining that Venkataraiiah agent of the Devamba Agrahar Vritties in the Krishnaraj pet Taluk has been mismanaging the estate etc., I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.B.C.81 Muz. dated 3rd March 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District reporting the action taken in the matter till now and stating that he will cause a full enquiry to be made and submit a final report within three months. The Deputy Commissioner is being requested to obtain the explanations of the officers responsible for the abnormal delay in dealing with the matter and to submit the same with his opinion.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

H.V.

Letter No.B.C.81/Muz. dated 3rd March 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

.....

With reference to your Memo No.2600 dated 2nd/6th September 1911 calling for a report on the petition of one Vyasa Rao bringing to notice that Venkataramiah, agent of Devalba Agrahar Vritties has to account for about Rs1300/- collected by him as agent of the 1¼ vritties endowed to Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Bandahole, Krishnarajpet Taluk, I have the honor to state that on a perusal of the records it is seen that the Amildar of Krishnarajpet Taluk in his No.715 dated 15th April 1913 received with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's yadi No.56 dated 28th July 1913 reported that Venkataramiah had been evading appearing before the Amildar to answer the objections put forth by Vyasa Rao and that as both Vyasa Rao and Venkataramiah were residing in Mysore necessary enquiries may be caused to be instituted through the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk.

My predecessor Mr.Subramanya Iyer ordered on this as follow on 17th April 1914.

" This has been grossly mismanaged. Venkataramiah is now dead and I do not think there is any use in persisting in the claim against him. Attention should be paid to the recovery of the amounts from Kurunenahalli and Teranenahalli Vritties. The Amildar should give his personal attention to this and send me a brief monthly report on the 8th of each month about the progress in the matter. In the event of the matter being neglected further, a report will have to be submitted to Government

The above order was communicated to the Sub Division Officer with yadi No.80 dated 21st July 1914 and by the Sub Division Officer to the Amildar on 23rd July 1914, but no further enquiry appears to have been made in the matter nor the exact amount said to have been collected by the late Venkataramiah as agent of the 1¼ Vritties of Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Bandahole adjudged.

The arrears of pay due to Venkataramiah are also reported

to

17

to have been disbursed prior to the receipt of the communication.

I shall now deal with the matter and insist on a full enquiry being made and a final report submitted within three months.

A copy of Vyasa Rao's petition received with your memo under reply is enclosed for reference, the original being retained here for purposes of further enquiry.

.....

'C o p y'

M. G. Krishnamachari Rao

For Revenue Commissioner.

R. C. 1.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

Dated
Despatched 19th March 1917.
Received
General No. A.C.-1133
Enclosure No. 1

SUBJECT.

Forwards Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter reporting on the petition from Vyasa Rao of Mysore complaining that Venkataramiah, agent of the Devamba Agrahar Vrittles, has been mismanaging the estate etc.

24.4.22

A. C. No. 1133
2 files + 2 copies

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 19th April 1922.

From

K.R.Srinivasiengar, Esqr., M.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.5251/Muz.94-11 dated 25th March 1922 calling for a report on the petition of Vyasa Rao of Mysore re the alleged misappropriation of certain amounts due to the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Bandihole village, Krishnarajapet Taluk. I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A.C.81 of 15-16 dated 12th April 1922 together with a copy of its enclosure Krishnaraja Sagara Sub Division Officer's report R.O.C.312/21-22 dated 13th February 1922 submitting a detailed report.

2. The vernacular records on the subject together with a copy of the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's former report No.81 of 15-16 dated 14th July 1920 are also enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

T.K.N.
BS

R. C. 4.

FROM

The *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

Dated 19th April 1922.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Reports on the petition of Vyasa-Rao of Mysore re the alleged misappropriation of certain amounts due to the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Bandihole village.

Letter No.Ref. on A.C.No.81 of 15-16 dated 12th April 1922 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your docket No.A.C.1133 Cl. dated 30th December 1920 and subsequent reminders the eto calling for a report in the matter of the alleged misappropriation of certain amounts due to Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Bandihole, Krishnarajpet Taluk, with some further information, I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of a detailed report in the matter received with Krishnarajasagara Sub Division Officer's No.R.O.C.312/21-22 dated 13th February 1922. The facts of the case, are fully explained by the Sub Division Officer and the information on the points raised in your docket under reply have been furnished. I have nothing more to add.

The connected vernacular records are also forwarded herewith for reference.

"C O P Y"

For Revenue Commissioner.

T.K.N.

Letter No. R.O C. No. 312 of 21-22 dated 13th February 1922, from the Special Land Acquisition Officer, Krishnarajapuram Works, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

— ** —

Sir, With reference to your Ref. No. B1 of 15-16 dated 1st July 1921 to the address of the Sub Division Officer of Yelahanka Rocket Sub Division calling for a report on the alleged misappropriation by the late Venkatasaramiah of certain Vrithi amounts due to Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Byndhole, Krishnarajapet Taluk, I have the honour to submit herewith the entire files ending with Report No. 1 marg dated 18/23rd July 1921 received from the Amildar of Krishnarajapet Taluk - the records of Krishnarajapet Sub Division, the correspondence was transferred to this office with Yelahanka Rocket Sub Division Officer's No. Dis O.C. 352 of 18-19 dated 22nd November 1921

2. District Officer's No. 41 - dated 1st July 1921 required that besides a full history of the case, a report should be submitted on the following points

(i) Whether a proper enquiry was made and a definite finding arrived at, on the allegations of misappropriation made by Vrithidar Vyasaroo against late agent Venkataramiah

(ii) How and when the arrears of Salary due to late Venkatasaramiah came to be disbursed to him in contravention of the instructions conveyed in the Revenue Secretary's letter No. 44-11-2 dated 3rd August 1911.

(iii) Whys, when and under what authority were the properties offered by the late agent as security were released and whether the security records thereof are traced?

(3) In G.O No. 1458 & Revy, dt 3-6-08 dated 2nd April 1909, the Government ordered that the temple of Sri Srikanta Swamy at Bundhole together with its endowments should be taken under the management of Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, arrangements be made for the regular conduct of services therein and that the result of the enquiry regarding the misappropriation of the temple income by (late) Venkataramiah, should be intimated in due course. This decision was reached by Government as the result of a petition presented to Government by Vrittidars of 12th May 1902

(4) In a second petition presented to the Dewan on 15th July 1911, petitioner Upendra reiterated the allegation of misappropriation against late Venkataramiah and stated that out of the marginally noted Vrittis endowed to Sri Srikanta Swamy Swamis temple at Bundhole, agent Venkataramiah misappropriated about Rupees 1341-12-2 collected from the ryots and that he thus contributed to the neglect of services in the temple. The petition was forwarded to the Revenue Commissioner for a detailed report with the intention that the arrears of pay also to Venkataramiah should not be disbursed and that security bonds by him should not be released. The matter was finally disposed of (Secretary's 4-11-2) dated 28th August 1911

- Bundhole village — 3-0 Vrittis
- ii) Shivanamakalli — 1-0 "
- iii) Thirumarai — 1/2 "

Total 4½ Vrittis

(5) Owing to several causes such as the Sub-Dividing question under short leasing mixed up with other matters relating to the temples, partly due to Venkataramaiah ceasing to be agent of Dewan Ambu Agrahar Vrittiés and partly due to the various reforms started by Baéliga to respond to the notices duly issued on some regrettable delay has taken place in the various offices through which the file passed from time to time.

(6) Regarding your query whether a proper inquiry was made about the allegation of mis-appropriation, I beg to invite your attention to Krishnarajapet Taluk Amildar's report No 315 dated 15th April 1913 to the address of Sub-Division officer, Pandavapura, reporting that, as both Complainant Vyasaiya & Venkataramiah refrained to reside in Nagsora, inquiry might be caused to be made there. As the meanwhile Venkataramiah died and no further action was taken as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner (Rao A. Seshagiri Rao) on 23rd Dec. 1914.

(7) The subject was again referred in March 1917 under the orders of Mr. Nathan, Deputy Commissioner, and attention is invited to Nagsora Circle office No A-C. 81 of 15-16/ 1917 dated 30th November 1917 to the address of the Sub-Division officer, French Rocks, forwarding the statement of Vyasaiya recorded before the Nagsora Taluk Amildar. Complainant Vyasaiya stated that Rs 1341-12-2 were due from Agent Venkataramiah. He received at this figure

Comparison of D.C.B. accounts, rental and

Yellireddi. Vijayaraghavulu added that he had taken the signature of the agent Correctness of the D.C.B. accounts produced at the time of his lodging the accounts and that he had no other proof to establish the late agent (Vide Vijayaraghavulu's statement) given before the Tahsildar of Rajahmundry on the 13th October 1917). But agent Venkataramanayya who died in 1913 had verified the correctness of the accounts in his inspection made on 22nd July 1909 before Mr. Ayyalu Anantarajachetty Tahsildar. Venkataramaiah deposed also that no amount was actually due from him that he had remitted the accounts before he vacated the office of Agent, that whatever amount be alleged as due from him was really duly due from the tenants cultivating the temple Vrittis. During the time of his taking over of accounts to the Vahsildars before Venkataramaiah vacated his agency in January 1902, due to his death subsequently no Conclusive proof was established regarding the actual amount stated to be misappropriated by him.

(8) Regarding the disbursement of of Salary amounting to Rs 1485 to Venkataramaiah, payment was as noted below. The salary amount from received in pursuance was disbursed

on receipt of the charge by Krishnarajapet Taluk Amildar from the District Office No. 3140 dated 4th December 1910 which was ten months prior to the General and Revenue Secretary's letter dated 2nd August 1911.

i) Towards repayment of the loan taken from temple funds	A	Rs. A. P. 180-0-0
ii) Towards attachment as per Civil Court decree		6786-15-0
iii) Towards arrears of assessment due from Vanivilas Amrutmahal		113-3-0
iv) Cash disbursements		104-14
	Total	7185-0-0

(9) In his Report No. 2116 dated 31st December 1919 the Amildar of Krishnarajapet Taluk states that Regional Security bond executed by late Venkataramanaiah was not lodged in the Taluk office and that it might be found in the Deputy Commissioner's office. The bond was executed in favour of the then Deputy Commissioner. A Copy of the Security bond is placed in the file (Vide Krishnarajapet Taluk Amildar's Report No. 1 dated 25th July 1921) When and under what authority Venkataramaniah's properties were released the records do not throw any light. It is also not clear how Venkataramaniah ceased to be agent of Devasamudra Agrahara

Security Bond dated 30.12.94 and Registered in Krishnarajapet Sub Registrar's Office, on 26.1.95

(10) To what extent the properties left by late Venkataramaniah could

... liable at this distance of time
; a question for Law Officers of Government
, advise in the matter."

(4) In this connection, I beg to submit
for your perusal a statement given
S
on 6th February 1922 by Petitioner Upasa-
to the effect that he had no additional
evidence to adduce for proving the
appropriation on the part of late Venkatarama
Iyengar what he had already represented
in his Petition to Government. He adds
that his present prayer is that Government
should cause D.C.B. accounts to be
prepared since Sri Srikanta Swamy
Swami temple was taken under
Government management and
that surplus funds, if any should
be utilized towards purchase of
lands for the benefit of the temple.
This is a matter requiring to be investigated
in due course with the help of the
Dharmadarsis and Amin Village
Munsiffs.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Asst. Commr. & Joint Secy.
Special Law & Legislation Office
Krishnarajapet.

Copy
for Deputy Commr
Mysore Dist

Letter No.Ref. on A.C.81/15-16 dated 14th July 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

In continuation of this office No.D.O. R.A.C.81 of 1915-16 (Muz) dated 23rd March 1920, promising the submission of a detailed report in the matter of the alleged misappropriation of amounts due to Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Bandihole, Krishnarajpet Taluk, I have the honour to state as follows:-

From the records herewith submitted it will be seen that the matter was under correspondence between the Taluk and Sub Division Officers, from 1902 to 1911. No effective action appears to have been taken in the matter during the long interval, but only notices were issued to the parties (Vyasa Rao and Venkatramayya) directing them to appear at the Taluk office with necessary accounts and records and that the case was several times adjourned without any enquiry as one party or other was absent on each occasion of the hearing date.

From the statement furnished by Vyasa Rao it is seen that a sum of ₹.1,341-12-8 collected by Venkatramayya as agent of the Vritties endowed to the temple has to be accounted for.

From the statement of Venkatramayya taken by the Amildar on 12th February 1913, it is seen that Venkatramayya promised to appear at the Taluk office on 10th March 1913 with accounts. But he never appeared as promised and the Amildar in his report No.315 dated 28th April 1913 requested that necessary enquiries may be instituted through the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk as the parties were living at Mysore. The reference was received in this office on 25th July 1913. The then Deputy Commissioner Mr. A. Subramanya Iyer passed on this reference the following Order:-

"This is grossly mismanaged. Venkatramayya is now dead and I do not think there is any use in persisting in the claim against him. Attention should be paid to the recovery of the amounts from Kuranenahalli and Thernenahalli Vritties. The Amildar should give

his personal attention to this and send me a brief monthly report on the 8th of each month about the progress in the matter. In the event of the matter being neglected further, a report will have to be submitted to Government."

The above order was communicated to the Sub Division Officer on 21st July 1914 who sent the same to the Amiloar on 22nd July 1914. No. further action appears to have been taken in the matter nor the exact amount said to have been collected, by the late Venkatramayya, ascertained.

The Amildar, Krishnarajapet, reported on 22nd December 1916 that nothing was done as regards the embezzlement made, by the agent, that the amount may be recovered from the heirs of the late agent Venkatramayya or action taken on the security property mentioned in the bond by the late agent.

As the Sub Division Officer, has not furnished the informatic as to whether agent Venkatramayya had given security, the records were returned to the Sub Division Officer to submit the records in question.

The Amildar now reports that the records relating to the security furnished by Veniatramayya are not available in his office and requests orders for the attachments of certain (immoveable) properties which are in the enjoyment of his (Venkatramayya's) sons.

The security records are being traced in this office.

In the meanwhile, I have the honour to solicit instructions in the matter of attachment of the properties which are in the enjoyment of the heirs of late Venkatramayya.

A list showing the period of pendency of the records in each office is herewith submitted.

The Vernacular papers ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's Memo No.R.O.C.352 of 1918-19 dated 5th April

28

1920 are herewith enclosed for reference and return.

" C O P Y "

For Revenue Commissioner.

T.K.N.
SS

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

29

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4468

Section

File No. 96

Serial No. 1911

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

No.

Date of issue 6-6-22

To.

The Dy. Com^r,

Mysore Dist.

Signed by Mr A. I. Khan

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with ~~Letter No. Nil~~ 433 ~~dt 22^d April 1922 from the Rev Com^r in Mysore reporting~~ reporting on Vyasarao's complaint about the alleged mis-appropriation by the late Amildar Venkatarao of a sum of ~~Rs~~ 1361 and odd said to be due to Sri Srikanteshwara

over. Swami

Your letter No. A C 87 of 15-16 & 12.4.22nd read with the Rev Com^rs letter No. 11338 22nd idem

Comp'd 30/5

30

Swami temple at Bandihole village, Krishnarajpet Taluk, are directed to ~~observe~~ State that the individual complained against having died about nine years ago, no useful purpose will be served by pursuing the enquiry further; ~~and to request that~~ ~~said the~~. This correspondence may be dropped.

2 I am however to request that you will be so good as to send up a Special report about the present State of affairs in the temple for the ~~[illegible]~~ of 1922

(2) The records are herewith returned for ref. & re-turn

List of enclosures.

I have etc

Sd 26/5/22

Asst Secretary,

In charge

6776. Sd 26/5/22

~~Copy~~ To the Rev Com. in reference to his wire ref

Copy of the above is forwarded with compts. B.O

Copied by
Examined by

Sd 26/5/22

Asst Secretary

(In charge)

Sd 26/5/22

Mysore Ac 1133
19/22 April 1922

21523 31 C 537 of 22-23
4 11 22

1922

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Mur 94-11-7

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore
(Through the Muzrai C Revenue Department)

Dated
Despatched } 28th October 1922 Bangalore
Received 30 Oct 1922

General No.
No.

Enclosure No

Subject

Submits a report on the state of affairs in the office of Sri Sivasambhu Swami Asst C Muzrai

REV. SECRETARIAT 1123 MUZRAI

OFFN IS REV. DEC'T, 6 NOV 1922

Dis on C 537 of 22-23 4-11-22 Encl one bundle of records 2 2 23

Forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government Revenue Department

Muzrai Commissioner.

Sub file

321

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Mysore, 25th October 192 2

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq.B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Revenue Department

Through
The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of your letter No.5775/Muz.9-11-6 dated 6th June 22, calling for a report as to the present state of affairs in the temple of Sri Srikanteswaraswamy at Bandihole, Krishnarajpet taluk, I have the honor to state that it is reported that services are being regularly conducted in the temple, that there is an annual income of Rs.171-8-0 from the portions of three vritties belonging to the temple, and that there is a sum of Rs.1030-3-7 at the credit of the institution as it stood on 31st August 1922.

The vernacular records received with your letter under reply are herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

33

Letter No.6776/Muz.94-11-6 dated 6th June1922 from the Secretary to Govt. Muzrai Department, to the Deputy Commissioner,Mysore Dt.

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.A.C.81 of 15-16 dated 12th April 1922 received with the Rev. Commissioner's letter No.1133 dated 22nd idem reporting on Vyasa Rao's complaint about the alleged misappropriation by the lateAgent Venkataramiah of a sum of Rs.1341 and odd said to be due to Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Bandihole village, K.Pet Taluk, I am directed to state that the individualcomplained against having died about nine years ago, no useful purpose will be served by pursuing the enquiry further. This question may therefore be dropped.

2. I am however to request that you will be so good as to send up a special report about the present state of affairs inthe temple for the information of Government.

3. The records are herewith returned for reference and resubmission.

Ref.A.C.No.81/15-16 Muz. Office of the Dy.Commr., Mysore Dt.
Dated, 13th June 1922.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the SubDivision Officer, K.R. Sagara, for information with reference to hisreport No.312 dated 13th February 1922.

2. He is requested to submit a special report called for in para 2 of the above within a fortnight for transmission to Govt

3. The connected records are returned for reference and resubmission.

R.G.C. 31/5 - Encl 3 files

Sd. R.P. Mallappa,
For Deputy Commissioner,Mysore District.

©&@&@&

No.....4 dated the 4th July 1922.

MEMO.

Copy forwarded to the Amildar of K.Pet Taluk for submission of the report called for in para 2 above within a week.

Report required urgently 7/22

Sub-Division Officer,
K.R.Sagara.

35

Lr. Rir: C.C. 312. dt
21-22

36

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mur

File No. 94 – 11

Serial No. 8

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Date of issue.....16.11.22.....

Signed by Jm. J.E.J. Iyer.....

Read— Petition dated [illegible] of late Agent of Dewamba Agraharam Tumkur Dist praying that the complainant in the above mentioned suit may be granted a sum of Rs 1200 as the said suit has been decided in his favour [illegible] Vruthi land situated at

Order Thereon No.

Sri Sukanda Rao Sahib Bandekar, late agent of the Dewamba Agraharam Tumkur in the Krishnarajapet Taluk,

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Krishnarajapet Taluk.

2) Correspondence ending with this office [illegible]

37

Dy 6th June 22 to the
Rev. Canon in my Sore
observing that since August
Venkataramiah complained
against ~~having died~~ died
about nine years ago,
no useful purpose would
~~Cill~~ be served by pursuing
the enquiry further
and calling for a report
about the proposed
State of affairs in the
Institution.

3) Correspondence ending
with letter no. C. 533
Dy 6th June 1922 from the
Rev Canon in my Sore
forwarding the Dy. Comm.'s
Cmn's no S Dy 26-10-1922
and ~~re~~ regarding Mar Services
regularly conducted
temple, that the

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1663—GPE—1,000,00—21 12 21

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Temple gets 38
to an annual income of
By 1918 & from the
Jagirs by the G. of Cap
be charging to the transfer
amount that income was
from A. By 1920 & by
at the rate of the
1727 Mar 94-11-8 one lunch of records
By 16.11.22

Recorded.

Asst. Secretary.

By 12/11/22

WP 2230—GPB—1,00,000—26 7 23